Study on The Thermal Distortion, Hardness, and Microstructure of St 37 Steel Plate Joined Using FCAW
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ABSTRACT

This study sets out to investigate the distortion angle, microstructure, and hardness of St 37 steel plate weld joint produced by FCAW using the welding current of 80, 110, and 140 A. By using flat position, CO₂ and E71T-1 wire were utilized as a shielding gas and electrode filler, respectively. The distortion angle measurement was done on 3 different locations of the welded sample perpendicular to weld direction by using bevel protractor. The micro Vickers tests were then applied gradually at the cross-sectional surface with a distance of 0, 5, 10 and 15 mm from weld centerline using the load of 300 g for indentation time of 15 s. A series of microstructural observations was subsequently directed on cross-sectional weld joint regions including base metal, heat-affected zone (HAZ) and weld metal to investigate the microstructural transformation. From the results, it can be observed that increasing welding current can reduce the hardness at all indentation regions as well as inducing a higher level of thermal distortion occurred on a weld joint, especially at HAZ. The microstructural transformation was also observed at sample welded using various welding current. Both heat input and cooling rate subjected to the welded sample played an important role to characterize their properties.
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I. Introduction

Welding becomes an important part on industrial technology growth due to its important role in metal fabrication and engineering. By involving thermal energy, the region around weld metal undergo the metallurgical change, residual stress enhancement, and thermal distortion. To reduce these disadvantage effects, the correct and optimal of both welding procedure and parameter were highly needed [1]. One of the widely used welding processes is flux core arc welding (FCAW). This process is mostly applied on metal fabrication industry because of its advantages, such as higher deposition rate, less affected on rust, simpler and highly adaptable, less-skilled operator requirement and higher productivity among other welding processes [2]. Based on a study conducted by Aloraier et al. (2006), reparation industries applied FCAW instead of MMAW because of their excellences for years [3].

Welding parameter on FCAW, namely current, voltage, welding speed, polarity, and protecting gas flow rate turn into an influential factor on the joint characteristics. The level of penetration was largely determined by the current, voltage, and welding speed applied during welding, with the deep penetration was resulted by welding using
high current and voltage [4]. On the other hand, welding parameters also have a decisive factor in microstructural change on the weld joint. Therefore, it is possible to find the different microstructure between weld metal and its base metal due to the different heat input obtained along the welding direction [5]. Another study was also proved that the welding current affected the microstructure and hardness distribution along the joint cross-section [6].

Distortion can be very harmful to the weld quality because it induces inaccurate joint shape. Therefore, it requires additional reparation cost. On the ship-making industries in Australia and New Zealand utilizing high strength steel as raw material, welding distortion becomes a significant problem. The Welding Institute (TWI) revealed that the cost for repairing welding distortion reached almost 30% of the total production cost [7]. Based on this problem, the ideal condition screening had been conducted by researchers to investigate the thermal distortion and find the best parameter to minimize this phenomenon, where, the suitable parameter combinations were needed to obtain negligible distortion occurred [8]. Considering the information's above, the effect of heat input on joint microstructure, hardness, and distortion of St 37 welded by FCAW was investigated on this study. The different welding current was utilized, representing the heat input variation.

II. Material and Method

Experiments were conducted by applying several tests on FCAW joint of St 37, namely distortion angle measurement, microstructural observation, and hardness test. The base material on this study included 10 mm-thick St 37 structural steel plate with the alloy composition of 0.063 % C; 0.621 % Mn; 0.031 % P; 0.158 % Si; and 0.039% Cu. The paired edge of the plate was firstly prepared to form V-shape having the angle of 60°, the root face of 1.5 mm, and 1 mm gap between each root face. Afterward, the FCAW (Rillon 350A) was applied on the prepared edge utilizing the filler electrode wire based on AWS E71T-1 standard having the composition of 0.18% C; 1.75% Mn; 0.90% Si; 0.03% P; and 0.03% S. The voltage was set at range of 15–25 V. By selecting flat position, the welding current was varied into 80, 110, and 140 A with the constant welding speed proportional to the selected current. The active gas of CO₂ was also applied to cover the weld pool along with the process with the constant gas flow rate of 15 LPM.

Distortion measurement was then done on 3 different locations of the sample perpendicular to weld direction by using bevel protractor as illustrated in Figure 1. The distortion angle (angular shrinkage), α, was recorded by comparing the lower side of the unconstrained metal plate to horizontal reference line extended from weld table-constrained plate interface.

Before microstructural observation and hardness test of the weld joint, the weld sample was cut into the small piece (55 x 10 x 10 mm) based on DIN 50103. The micro Vickers indentations were then applied gradually at the cross-sectional surface with the distance of 0, 5, 10 and 15 mm from weld centerline. This process was done using Eseway Type Digital Microvickers TH721. During the hardness investigation, indentation load of 300 g for 15 s was constantly used at all test points.
To evidence the phenomena possibly found on both distortion angle measurement and hardness test, a microstructural observation was subsequently directed on cross-sectional weld joint regions including base metal, heat-affected zone (HAZ) and weld metal. Before capturing the microstructural topography of weld joint, the cross-sectional surface was firstly polished using sand paper having grit from #150 to #5000. On the next step, the target area for observation was etched using a mixture of 95% alcohol and 5% HNO₃ for 10s. The observation was then conducted with 1400 times of magnification using a Nikon Japan 59520 Optical Microscope.

Fig. 1. Distortion measurement utilizing (a) angular shrinkage measurement at (b) 3 test locations perpendicular with the weld direction of the sample.

III. Results and Discussion

Distortion Angle Analysis

Information regarding the distortion angle measurement of as-weld sample using the different welding currents is described in Figure 2.

Fig. 2. Distortion angle curves of sample welded as a function of welding current representing a thermal distortion
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The measurement was conducted at 3 different locations (beginning, middle, and end of weld) with a constant gap for each test perpendicular with the weld direction and the results of each measurement and their average values are listed on Table 1. The angle was considered as the representation of thermal distortion due to the presence of residual stress at the weld joint.

Table 1. Distortion angle of sample welded using welding current of 80, 110, and 140 A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welding Current (A)</th>
<th>Distortion Angle (°)</th>
<th>Average (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beginning</td>
<td>Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 indicates that by using FCAW, the distortion angle becomes larger proportional with the higher welding current used. This was caused by the enrichment of residual stress amount, especially at HAZ, as a function of heat input [9]. The heat input elevation was induced by higher welding temperature resulted from the higher current. By giving the spontaneous thermal heating, melting, and cooling during welding, each region of HAZ had received a different amount of heat energy. Therefore, it experienced various degree of thermal expansion and subsequent contraction by inhomogeneity cooling rate inducing a non-uniform strain at a particular area. This remained as residual stress after the weld joint was cooled down into room temperature in the form of tensile and compression stress [10]. The greater residual stress formation was generated by the greater degree of heat input. Because the presence of distortion on weld joint is undesirable, the selection of optimum welding parameters is very important to minimize this phenomenon without sacrificing the appropriate mechanical properties [8].

From the Figure 2, the distortion angles are distinct for each measurement point of similar sample and remain higher at the end line measurement where the highest value is found at the end line of each sample welded using 80, 110, and 140 A with 2.2; 2.57; and 2.9°, respectively. This result can be explained by the higher heat input obtained of the end line in comparison with the two other locations due to the additional heat transferred from previous weld exposure. Therefore, the welding temperature remained higher at the end of the welding area. In case of the increase heat input at the end line, the residual stress-inducing distortion was higher as well [11].

Microstructure Analysis

The temperature of weld metal during welding is approximately 1500 ºC. St 37 steel containing 0.063% C makes this type of steel is included as hypo eutectoid steel (0.008-0.83% C). Above the crystallization temperature, the phase will transform into austenite while ferrite and pearlite phases will be found at room temperature [12]. On this study, in general point of view, the bright- and dark-etched grain was observed indicating the presence of ferrite and pearlite phases.
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Fig. 3. Microstructure appearance of joint welded by FCAW using the current of 80 A at (a) weld metal, (b) HAZ, and (c) base metal with 1400 times magnification.

Figure 3(a) shows the presence of acicular ferrite at weld metal of 80 A sample indicated by the appearance of dark, needle-like shaped ferrite [13]. This made the hardness value of weld metal reached 284.1 HV or higher than the raw material (260.7 HV) due to the significant phase transformation occurred in the weld metal. Furthermore, this phenomenon was also affected by the E71T-1 wire application, possibly forming a new compositional combination with the base metal, where the wire material became the dominant composition due to its function as weld filler. On the other hand, heat input induced by the current of 80 A also played an important role in the
microstructural transformation and hardness enhancement on the weld metal. From the figure, the acicular ferrite was dominant phase compared with the others, working as an interlocking structure to impede the dislocation movement [14]. Acicular ferrite is generally formed at around 650°C and possess the higher toughness than other microstructures [12]. One of the factors affected acicular ferrite formation is the presence of inclusion caused by oxidation particle inside the melting metal. This could be occurred due to several aspects, such as base metal composition, electrode, shielding gas, air-condition, and flux [14].

At the weld metal region, the phases transformed using the currents of 110 and 140 A (Figure 4(a) and 5(a)) were the mixture of widmanstatten ferrite and acicular ferrite. The acicular ferrite amount was lower compared with the 80 A sample because it substituted into widmanstatten ferrite. The latter phase was formed at 750-650°C [15] and induced the hardness reduction, to the value of 240.4 and 227.5 HV at samples welded using 110 and 140 A, respectively. These phenomena can be attributed by the different level of heat input received for each sample, where the larger grain was formed if the higher welding temperature was achieved in the weld metal causing lower cooling rate compared with the lower current.

At HAZ for all current variations (Figure 3(b), 4(b), and 5(b)), the presence of pearlite was dominant alongside the ferrite phase caused by the growth of pearlite grain from its base metal at elevated temperature during welding thermal cycle. The hardness test showed that HAZ provide the higher hardness value at all variations compared with their base metal counterparts with 262.7; 236.9; and 193.6 HV at sample welded using 80, 110, and 140 A, respectively. By applying higher heat input, the hardness was observed to be lower at HAZ. It may be caused by excessive grain growth at higher heat input, consequently reducing its hardness [12][15][16]. During weld metal cooling, the
base metal temperature increased because the base metal acted as a heat sink. Therefore, a low thermal gradient and cooling rate occurred at high heat input. Thus the larger grain of pearlite can be formed from austenite [13][15]. Such pearlite size enlargement became the reason for the hardness reduction.

Less-significant phase transformation induced by thermal cycle effect was found on the base metal of all current variations where the bright-etching phase, ferrite, and dark-etched phase, pearlite, were still observed from microstructure image as found on 80 A sample (Figure 3(c)). However, the relatively large hardness reduction up to 173.2 HV was detected for sample welded using higher heat input of 140 A at the distance of 15 mm from weld centerline indicating grain coarsening and homogenizing occurred instead of phase transformation in the microstructural state. It can be explained by the size development of pre-existing ferrite colony along with the size reduction of pearlite grain due to high heat input conducted from weld metal, indicating these currents generated larger HAZ [12]. The size development of 110 and 140 A was relatively similar, as depicted in Figure 4(c) and 5(c). Generally speaking, the hardness of base metal showed the lower value compared with HAZ because the pearlite size reduction during the welding thermal cycle, and the reduction level was proportional with heat input given [17].

**Hardness Analysis**

The hardness test was conducted on room temperature at the distance of 0, 5, 10, and 15 mm from weld centerline using an indentation load of 300 g. The indentation was taken from these points representing the region of weld metal, HAZ and base metal. The hardness test results are listed in Table 2, and the hardness curves as a function of test distance and FCAW welding current are further described in Figure 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welding Current (A)</th>
<th>Hardness (HV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>284.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>240.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>227.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw material</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results on Table 2 demonstrates that the welded joint performs different results in case of hardness compared with the raw material where the hardness of weld metal and HAZ at 80 A sample have the higher values, but it remains lower at the other points of all variations. This phenomenon indicates the microstructural evolution after the welding process, as the current played an important role to characterize the microstructure and hardness distribution [6].

When the test conducted at weld metal, the significant elevation of hardness was occurred at sample welded using 80 A compared with that of 110 and 140 A samples. The compositional difference of E71T-1 filler wire with the base metal varied the mechanical properties of weld metal with the base counterparts because the wire dominantly filled the weld bead up to the weld root gap. Due to the higher C and other alloying elements on the wire, at low heat input, the Cr-carbide was deposited, thus
enhance the hardness at weld metal [18]. This property enhancement was also consistent with a previous study conducted by Katherasan et al. (2012) [19]. Contrary, the hardness reduction on identical location was found on sample welded using both 110 and 140 A with 240.4 and 227.5 HV, respectively, caused by the relatively high heat input given during welding. This parameter induced the microstructural change as depicted in Figure 3(a), 4(a), and 5(a) where the presence of both widmanstatten and lower amount of acicular ferrite (compared with that found on weld metal of 80 A sample). The widmanstatten ferrite enrichment had a responsibility of these hardness reductions [13].

Fig. 6. Hardness curves of welded joint as a function of welding current

The hardness evolution of HAZ is similar with those on weld metal as illustrated in Figure 6, where the hardness of HAZ as results of welding using 80 A shows the value of 262.7 HV, or still higher than the raw material. The HAZ hardening was related to the high-temperature gradient and cooling rate due to low heat input possibly causing the grain refining on this region [15][16][20]. As shown in Figure 3(b), the microstructure of HAZ welded is dominated by small and irregular pearlite grain inducing the hardness elevation. On the other hand, with the value of 236.9 and 195.6 HV at HAZ of 110 and 140 A sample, the hardness of this region was determined to be lower than 80 A sample, even the raw material. The grain growth and coarsening of predominantly ferrite, and a lower amount of pearlite from its base metal by using higher heat input induced the hardness reduction. By comparing the HAZ microstructure on both of sample welded using 110 and 140 A, the pearlite acting as dislocation inhibitor is found to be lower at 110 A sample as shown in Figure 4(b), and 5(b).

On the test taken at the distance of 10 and 15 mm from weld centerline representing the base metal hardness, it can be seen that no significant microstructural change and only ferrite and pearlite grain coarsening, or homogenizing, was observed as illustrated from the Figure 3(c), 4(c) and 5(c). By using low heat input induced by the current of 80 A, the hardness difference between raw material and base metal after welding was
relatively low. However, at higher heat input generated by the currents of 110 and 140 A, the hardness reduction became obvious at the range of 173.2-193.7 HV compared with raw material (260.7 HV). Although the base metal temperature was not sufficient to reach recrystallization temperature (A1), the heating at sub-A1 was still able to modify the microstructure in case of homogenizing, coarsening, and internal stress relieving with the higher effect can be achieved with the higher temperature/heat input [12]. It is consistent with the phenomenon occurred where the base metal hardness of 140 A sample reached the lowest point on 15 mm among all variations with 173.2 HV.

IV. Conclusions

By using FCAW method, St 37 steel plate having the thickness of 10 mm had been successfully joined involving AWS E71T-1 electrode wire as a filler and CO₂ as the shielding gas. Based on distortion angle measurement, micro Vickers test and observation by optical microscope on the weld joint using the welding current of 80, 110, and 140 A, the results can be concluded as follows: (1) The distortion angle becomes larger, proportional with the higher welding current used indicating the increase of residual stress amount, especially at HAZ, as a function of heat input; (2) The microstructural evolution was observed on weld metal and HAZ where the weld metal was dominated by acicular ferrite at sample welded using 80 A, and additional phase of widmanstatten ferrite was observed on samples welded with higher current. The ferrite and pearlite grain were found on HAZ, and the amount was varied by welding current while no significant phase transformation identified on base metal, and (3) The hardness value was strongly influenced by welding current applied with the higher hardness curve was achieved by lower current representing lower heat input, where the highest value was obtained from weld metal of 80 A sample with 284.1 HV. The hardness was also decreased when the indentation was taken at further location from weld centerline.
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