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Abstract
Light Duty Vehicle (LDV) for road transportation in Indonesia is the biggest energy consumption with most of the energy carriers from refined fuel oil with high CO\textsubscript{2} emission. Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV) is one of the alternatives to reduce oil consumption and CO\textsubscript{2} emission on the road transportation sector. This research is carried to analyse impact on BEV on energy demand and carbon emission. Modified Mobility Model (MoMo) with PUCE methods was developed to projecting road transportation demand in Indonesia. This research shows that road transportation will reach 519 MBoe in 2040 with 83.6\% from refined fuel oil. With moderate EV, energy will be reduced by 31 MBoe (6\%), and refined fuel oil reduced by 8.5\%. With a high EV scenario, energy will be reduced by 57 MBoe (14\%) and refined fuel oil reduced by 16\%. CO\textsubscript{2} emission will be reduced up to 4.8 to 8.8\% in 2040.
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1. Introduction
Transportation is the biggest energy consumer in Indonesia, with 362 MBoe or 46.6\% of national energy consumption in 2017 [1]. It is in line with increasing refined fuel oil (gasoline and diesel oil) consumption since limited alternative energy carrier is available for transportation. Road transportation is the biggest consumer for fuel oil with 88\% from total production [2]. Road transportation requires energy carriers that are easy to store and distributed to meet mobility purposes; therefore fossil fuel still becomes the biggest contribution by 99.3\% or 277 MBoe in 2017 [3]. With Indonesian oil production getting lower, it will cause a massive energy gap to be filled. The increase in the utilization of fossil fuel for road transportation will increase CO\textsubscript{2} emission. Road transportation is the 2nd biggest CO\textsubscript{2} emission in Indonesia, with 117.9 million Mton CO\textsubscript{2} or 25.9\% of total CO\textsubscript{2} emission [4].

Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV) is one of the alternatives to be used for road transportation. BEV are three to five more energy-efficient than conventional internal combustion engines [5] and will lead to lower energy consumption. Electrical power generation has various primary energy sources include a renewable energy source. Therefore it will reduce dependency on refined fuel oil in the future. Zero GHG emission from BEV will play a key role in the low-carbon footprint program. As developed countries, Indonesia is facing difficulties in maintaining load factor, therefore high utilization and smart grid of BEV with a controllable charging period, will improve the load factor [6], increase power system stability, and reduce power system operating cost [7].

Electrical energy in Indonesia is predominantly generated from fossil fuel-based electrical generation. Coal Fired Power Plant (CFPP) currently contributes the highest composition by 60\% and follows by a gas power plant with 23\% [8]. With this composition, CO\textsubscript{2} emission from power plant contributes approximately 267 million Mtons CO\textsubscript{2} or 48\% of total emission in Indonesia [2]. Decarbonizing emission program with BEV utilization depends on location, especially power generation composition. Average well to wheels CO\textsubscript{2} emissions BEV cars are 102 gCO\textsubscript{2}/km in US, 93 gCO\textsubscript{2}/km in UK, and 19 gCO\textsubscript{2}/km in France [9]. Therefore, well-to-wheel analysis is needed to calculate the impact of BEV utilization in Indonesia.
2. Method

2.1. Calculation Methods

Calculation methods in this study were modified Mobility Model (MoMo), which developed by International Energy Agency (IEA) for Energy Technology Perspectives (ETP) 2017 [10]. Fundamental models in MoMo is based on ASIF methodology (Activity–Structure–Intensity–Fuel) [11]. Anyhow in the case of LDV approach, these models shall be modified into PUCE methods (Parc–Use–Consumption–Emission), with equation

\[ GHG = \sum_{\text{Models Fuel}} \sum_{f} P_{m,f} U_{m,f} C_{m,f} E_{m,f} \]  

(1)

where \( G \) represents total energy or gaseous emission, \( P \) represent the number of vehicles, \( U \) represent the use of the vehicles, \( C \) represents energy intensity or consumption, and \( E \) represents emission characteristic [12]. Modified Mobility Models (MoMo) are shown on Figure 1.

2.2. Vehicle Stocks Calculation

Vehicle stocks calculation based on stock turn over methods. Sales and survival rate was the input on the stock vintage year with the following equation

\[ \text{Stock}_{x,v,y} = \left( \text{Sales}_{x,v,y} \times \text{Survival}_{x,v,y} \right) \]  

(2)

\[ \text{Stock}_{x,v,y} = \sum_{y=0,T} \text{Stocks}_{x,v,y} \]  

(3)

where \( i \) is the type of vehicle, \( v \) is vintage or model year, \( y \) is a calendar year, and \( T \) is the number of types of vehicles.

Figure 1. Flow diagram of modified PUCE methodology for LDV in the models.
In this model, Indonesian territory was clustered into 4 areas (Figure 2) which have similarities in road infrastructure, public transport, access sources of energy for transportation, and population density, as seen in Figure 3 [13]. This clustered area will affect the scenario development where the BEV penetration will be focused on area 1 and area 2. Techno-economic modeling was used to develop LDV ownership shown in Figure 4 [14].

**Figure 2.** Indonesia territory was clustered into 4 areas.

**Figure 3.** (a) Population projection, and (b) GDP per capita projection.

**Figure 4.** LDV/1000 population per GDP per capita for (a) car, (b) bus, (c) truck, and (d) motor.
2.3. **Model Validation**

Methods to validate models compare the oil consumption for road transportation from 2010 to 2017, as seen in Figure 5. We compare gasoline and diesel consumption between data and output models. It shows that real consumption and models on both gasoline and diesel have a similar trend.

2.4. **Scenario and Assumption**

Three scenarios were developed in this research as seen in Table 1. Developed scenario based on electrical power generation data (as shown on Table 2) [16] and vehicle energy intensity, economics, and emission for each vehicle (as shown on Table 3) [9], [17]–[20]. In scenario 1 or Business as Usual (BaU), no electric vehicles on this scenario. In scenario 2 or medium BEV penetration, sales on BEV will be 25–35% in 2040 on private cars, buses, and motorcycles in area 1 and area 2. Meanwhile, for scenario 3 or optimist BEV penetration, sales on BEV will be 50–70% in 2040 on private cars, buses, and motorcycles in area 1 and area 2.

### Table 1. Developed scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Technology Option</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1        | Business as usual | o Current situation and government regulation  
o No introduce on FCEV & BEV  
o Biodiesel 40% in 2040 [15] |
| 2        | Medium BEV penetration | o BEV technology penetration  
• Private car: 25–35% in 2040  
• Bus: 30–35% in 2040  
• Motorcycle: 30–35% in 2040  
o Electrical power generated from mixed energy sources  
o Biodiesel 40% in 2040 [15] |
| 3        | Optimist BEV penetration | o BEV technology penetration  
• Private car: 50–60% in 2040  
• Bus: 50–70% in 2040  
• Motorcycle: 50–65% in 2040  
o Electrical power generated from mixed energy sources  
o Biodiesel 40% in 2040 [15] |

### Table 2. Electrical power generation data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Environment (MT CO₂/TJ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>RE Source</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Vehicle energy intensity, economic, and emission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Intensity (U) (k.km/year)</th>
<th>Consumption (C) (MJ/100km)</th>
<th>Emission (E) (MT/TJ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>10.0–14.0</td>
<td>23–368</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>10.0–14.0</td>
<td>188–289</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electric</td>
<td>10.0–14.0</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>40.0–50.0</td>
<td>1,505–2,310</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electric</td>
<td>40.0–50.0</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>40.0–60.0</td>
<td>942–1,257</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>8.5–10.0</td>
<td>110–150</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electric</td>
<td>8.5–10.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Vehicle Sales, Stocks, and Mileage

Battery electric cars, city buses, and motorcycles are currently available on the market. Meanwhile, for trucks and intercity buses, battery electric-based vehicles still being developed in the future. In this research, only three types of vehicles replaced by BEV are car, bus, and motorcycle. Figure 6–8 shows each scenario developed on BEV penetration. In scenario 1 or BaU, no BEV technology on LDV sales (Figure 6.a, 7.a, and 8.a). In scenario 2 with medium BEV penetration, the electric car will reach 21% from all car sales or 283,000 units (Figure 6.b), an electric bus with 13% or 6,000 units (Figure 7.b), and motorcycle with 21% or 2 million units 2040 (Figure 8.b). In scenario 3 with optimistic BEV penetration, electric cars will reach 39% from all car sales or 525,000 units (Figure 6.c), electric bus with 22% or 9,000 units 2040 (Figure 7.c), and motorcycle 36% or 3.5 million units in 2040 (Figure 8.c).

Figure 9–11 show total stocks or active vehicles on each LDV type. In scenario 2, the total active electric car will be 2.5 million units or 11% (Figure 9.b), electric bus will be 63,000 units or 6% (Figure 10.b), and electric motor will be 15.6 million units or 11% in 2040 (Figure 11.b). In scenario 3, total active electric car will be 4.6 million units or 21% (Figure 9.c), electric bus will be 107,000 units or 10% (Figure 10.c), and electric motor will be 28.8 million units or 21% in 2040 (Figure 11.c).

![Figure 6. Car sales in (a) scenario 1, (b) scenario 2, and (c) scenario 3.](image)

![Figure 7. Bus sales in (a) scenario 1, (b) scenario 2, and (c) scenario 3.](image)
Figure 8. Motor sales in (a) scenario 1, (b) scenario 2, and (c) scenario 3.

Figure 9. Car stocks in (a) scenario 1, (b) scenario 2, and (c) scenario 3.

Figure 10. Bus stocks in (a) scenario 1, (b) scenario 2, and (c) scenario 3.

Figure 11. Motor stocks in (a) scenario 1, (b) scenario 2, and (c) scenario 3.
3.2. Energy Demand

Figure 12 and 13 shows the energy demand on-road transportation in Indonesia. In scenario 1, total energy demand will reach 519 MBoe in 2040 (Figure 12.a), 38% from the motorcycle and 33% from trucks (Figure 13.a). In scenario 2, with medium BEV penetration on car, bus, and motor, total energy demand on road transportation will be reduced by 30.9 MBoe (Figure 12.b) or 6.3% compared to scenario 1 (Figure 13.b). BEV on the motorcycle is expected to be the main contributor for energy reduction by 19.8 MBoe or 11.2%. Furthermore, in scenario 3 with optimist BEV penetration, total energy demand will be reduced by 56.9 MBoe (Figure 12.c) or 12.3% (Figure 13.c). Both motorcycle and cars are expected to be the main contributor to energy demand reduction by 36.5 MBoe and 15.2 MBoe. Therefore, in 2040, trucks will be the biggest consumer in road transportation with 37.2% since BEV technology can replace ICE on trucks.

Figure 14 and 15 shows energy demand by fuel for road transportation in Indonesia. In scenario 1, refined fuel oil (gasoline and diesel oil) will reach 434.4 MBoe in 2040 (Figure 14.a) or 84% from total energy demand (Figure 15.a) and biodiesel consumption is 84.3 MBOE with B40 program for diesel oil (Figure 14.a). In scenario 2, refined fuel oil will be reduced by 36.8 MBoe (Figure 14.b) or 8.5% compared to scenario 1 (Figure 15.b). Meanwhile, electricity demand to feed BEV will reach 9.1 MBoe or 13.3 TWh in 2040. Higher BEV efficiency is the main role for energy demand reduction, as seen in Figure. In scenario 3, refined fuel oil will be reduced by 68.2 MBoe (Figure 14.c) or 15.7% compared to scenario 1 (Figure 15.c). Meanwhile, electricity demand to feed BEV will reach 16.6 MBoe or 24.1 TWh in 2040. Figure 16 shows average efficiency of car, bus, and motor. In scenario 2, average car efficiency will reach 11.3 km/liter or 12% higher than scenario 1, average bus efficiency increased 2.23 km/ltr or 5.2%, and average motor efficiency will increase to 26.5 km/ltr or 10.9% compared to scenario 1. With a charging efficiency 90%, energy demand for electric vehicles will be 14.7 TWh. In scenario 3, average car efficiency will reach 12.4 km/liter or 20.4% higher than scenario 1, average bus efficiency also increased 2.32 km/ltr or 9.4% , and average motor efficiency increased 29.3 km/ltr or 22.6% compared to scenario 1. With a charging efficiency 85%, the energy demand for electric vehicles will be 14.7 TWh. With a charging efficiency of 90%, the energy demand for electric vehicles will be 26.8 TWh. It shows a good impact on the utilization of battery electric vehicles and reduces Indonesia with significant refined oil reduction, reduced energy demands, and higher average vehicle efficiency.
3.3 Carbon Emission

Figure 17 shows power generation contribution to feed energy for the electric vehicles. The power generation composition will affect CO₂ emission as seen on Figure 18 and 19. In scenario 1, total emission on road transportation will reach 218 million Mton CO₂ in 2040 (Figure 18.a). Emission from motor cycle is the main contributor with 82 million Mtons or 38%. Followed by truck with 73 million Mtons or 34% and car with 36 million Mtons or 36% (Figure 19.a). In scenario 2, total emission from road transportation will be reduced to 202 million Mton (Figure 18.b) or 7.5% lower than scenario 1 (Figure 19.b). Meanwhile emission from electric generation will be 6 million Mtons. Therefore, in total, emission will be reduced by 10 million Mtons or 4.8%. In scenario 3, total emission from road transportation will be reduced to 188 million Mton (Figure 28.c) or 13.7% lower than scenario 1 (Figure 19.c). Meanwhile, emission from electric generation will be 11 million Mtons. Therefore, in total, emission will be reduced by 19 million Mtons or 8.8%.
Figure 17. Electrical power generation source (TWh) in (a) scenario 2 and (b) scenario 3.

Figure 18. Carbon dioxide emission (million metric tons) in (a) scenario 1, (b) scenario 2, and (c) scenario 3.

Figure 19. Carbon dioxide emission contribution in (a) scenario 1, (b) scenario 2, and (c) scenario 3.

Table 4. Comparison on utilization on battery electric vehicle in Indonesia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>BaU</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Optimist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy demand (MBOE)</td>
<td>518.9</td>
<td>488.1</td>
<td>462.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy reduction (%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical demand (TWh)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined oil demand (MBOE)</td>
<td>434.4</td>
<td>397.6</td>
<td>366.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined oil reduction (%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emission (million MT)</td>
<td>218.3</td>
<td>207.9</td>
<td>199.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ reduction (%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusion
Utilization of battery electric vehicle in Indonesia especially on private sector on cars and motors have multiple benefits including a reduction on energy demands on-road transportation, reduction on importing refined fuel oil, and also total CO₂ reduction even though composition on electrical power generation in Indonesia still dominated by coal and natural gas-based power plants.
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